Causation and Responsibility: An Essay in Law, Morals, and Metaphysics, Michael S. Moore, Oxford University Press, 2010, 0199599513, 9780199599516, 640 pages. The concept of causation is fundamental to ascribing moral and legal responsibility for events. Yet the relationship between causation and responsibility remains unclear. What precisely is the connection between the concept of causation used in attributing responsibility and the accounts of causal relations offered in the philosophy of science and metaphysics? How much of what we call causal responsibility is in truth defined by non-causal factors? This book argues that much of the legal doctrine on these questions is confused and incoherent, and offers the first comprehensive attempt since Hart and Honoré to clarify the philosophical background to the legal and moral debates. The book first sets out the place of causation in criminal and tort law and outlines the metaphysics presupposed by the legal doctrine. It then analyses the best theoretical accounts of causation in the philosophy of science and metaphysics, and using these accounts criticises many of the core legal concepts surrounding causation - such as intervening causation, foreseeability of harm and complicity. It considers and rejects the radical proposals to eliminate the notion of causation from law by using risk analysis to attribute responsibility. The result of the analysis is a powerful argument for revising our understanding of the role played by causation in the attribution of legal and moral responsibility.
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Responsibility in law and morality [electronic resource], Peter Cane, Jan 1, 2002, Law, 303 pages. Lawyers who write about responsibility tend to focus on criminal law at the expense of civil and public law; while philosophers tend to treat responsibility as a moral concept ....
The concept of causation is fundamental to ascribing moral and legal responsibility for events. Yet the relationship between causation and responsibility remains unclear. What precisely is the connection between the concept of causation used in attributing responsibility and the accounts of causal relations offered in the philosophy of science and metaphysics? How much of what we call causal responsibility is in truth defined by non-causal factors? This book argues that much of the legal doctrine on these questions is confused and incoherent, and offers the first comprehensive attempt since Hart and Honoré to clarify the philosophical background to the legal and moral debates.

The book first sets out the place of causation in criminal and tort law and then outlines the metaphysics presupposed by the legal doctrine. It then analyses the best theoretical accounts of causation in the philosophy of science and metaphysics, and using these accounts criticizes many of the core legal concepts surrounding causation - such as intervening causation, forseeability of harm and complicity. It considers and rejects the radical proposals to eliminate the notion of causation from law by using risk analysis to attribute responsibility. The result of the analysis is a powerful argument for revising our understanding of the role played by causation in the attribution of legal and moral responsibility.

The most comprehensive study of this topic since Hart and Honore, this work pulsates with arguments and examples, all in the service of an integrated picture of the interactions between law, morals, the philosophy of mind, and the metaphysics of causation. Moore’s uncompromising realism brings a remarkable unity to his argument, and will form the starting point for any similar discussion from now onwards.

There are many reasons to read this book. First of all, to start with the most obvious of them, this is a major work of a well-known American legal scholar, who has already made important contributions both to legal theory and to the theory of criminal law. But here are two more reasons that make it a great reading: On the one hand, and this will be of interest to lawyers, it has a very clear, systematic and exhaustive account of the Anglo-American legal doctrines on causation both in criminal law and
torts. In this respect the book concludes with a splendid reconstruction of the law's view on causation (a description of the legal notion of cause under its best interpretation). On the other hand, and this has special relevance for legal theory and general philosophy, the book discusses the plausibility of the law's view on causation under the light of the current philosophical debate about causation, suggesting very compelling arguments for the revision of some wide accepted legal doctrines. As if all this were not enough, the book includes an Appendix in which it makes some points about causation in the law of contracts, and develops an interesting analysis of a case in insurance law which illustrates the important contributions that philosophical considerations can make to legal practice. Of course, one probably will not agree with every thesis advanced here by Moore, all the more so, given the strong metaphysical and moral convictions -always explicitly reminded- that ground his conclusions. But whatever convictions one may have, the force of such scholarly well founded arguments cannot be ignored.

"This must rank as one of the most successful applications of analytical philosophy to substantive questions of law ever. There are few important questions of criminal, tort, contract, and property law that are not in some significant way intertwined with issues of causation. As a result, there are few such questions that this book leaves untouched, or unchanged. The book should fire the imagination of all willing to look in a fresh way at some of the most fundamental problems of law. No one trying to think seriously about those problems will be able to proceed, nor indeed would want to proceed, without reckoning with its insights and arguments." --Leo Katz, Frank Carano Professor of Law at the University of Pennsylvania Law School

This book is about the role causation plays in the attribution of both moral responsibility and legal liability (in the law of crimes, torts, and to a lesser extent, contracts). The book strips away many of the usages of the word â€œcausationâ€™ in law and legal theory, on the grounds that such usages have little to do with causation itself. What remains is the law's use of â€œcausationâ€™ to name a natural relation that is at the heart of both ordinary and scientific explanations of the world. Some normative defense is offered as to why causation in this sense is a proper basis for assessing degrees ... More
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